

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,791	10/30/2003	Akihiro Tanaka	244692US2	8912
22850	22850 7590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LEE, PATRICK J	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/695,791	TANAKA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patrick J. Lee	2878			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	igust 2005				
• —		action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	<i>,</i> —					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)[🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-16 and 18-22</u> is/are pending in t	he application				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ State Withdraw Horn consideration. ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 8-11 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠						
7)						
8)□	<u> </u>					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	,				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 August 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	•	Ç			
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	tic)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0805, 0505, 0405. Other:					
		<u> </u>				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to amendment filed August 15th, 2005.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 8/15/2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

3. The amended title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. "Optical Encoder" is a general term that does not disclose any portion of the inventive aspect of the device.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed April 22nd, 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because Document No. 60-515 lacks an English translation. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 12-13, 16, 18, & 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2003/00467673 A1 to Thorburn et al.

With respect to claim 12, Thorburn et al disclose a photodetector array comprising: photodiodes (D_1) as a plurality of first photodiodes arranged in a first direction; and photodiodes (D_0) as a plurality of second photodiodes commonly connected to a same wiring and arranged alongside photodiodes (D_1), such that photodiodes (D_0) are located in between first photodiodes (D_1). Thorburn et al disclose only photodiodes (D_0) being only connected to pad (D_0) (see page 3, paragraph [0042]). A circuit to perform calculation based on photodiodes (D_0 , D_1) is inherent to the device.

With respect to claim 13, Thorburn et al disclose every fourth photodiode (D1) connected to the same common wiring.

With respect to claim 16, Thorburn et al disclose a photodetector array comprising photodiodes (D_1) as a plurality of first photodiodes arranged in a first direction; and photodiodes (D_0) as a plurality of second photodiodes commonly connected to a same wiring and arranged alongside photodiodes (D_1), such that

photodiodes (D_0) are located in between first photodiodes (D_1). Thorburn et al disclose only photodiodes (D_0) being only connected to pad (P_0) (see page 3, paragraph [0042]). A circuit to perform calculation based on photodiodes (D_0 , D_1) is inherent to the device.

With respect to claim 18, Thorburn et al disclose every fourth photodiode (D1) connected to the same common wiring.

With respect to claims 21-22, Thorburn et al disclose the first and second photodiodes (D_0 , D_1) to be in the shape of a rectangle extending in a second direction perpendicular to a first direction (see Figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 14-15 & 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/00467673 A1 to Thorburn et al.

With respect to claims 14-15 & 19-20, the invention by Thorburn et al is disclosed in the discussion of claims above. However, Thorburn does not explicitly disclose the calculations as claimed; such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to allow the device to process the detected signals for a determination as to the quality of the detected signals.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1-2 & 8-11 are allowable over the prior art.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claim 1, the prior art of record does not disclose nor suggest the first optical detector having a changing output, while the second optical detector having a constant output. As a result, claim 1 and dependent claims 2 & 8-11 are allowable over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 8-16, & 18-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2878

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,791

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Patrick J. Lee Examiner Art Unit 2878 Page 7

Status information for

PJL September 19th, 2005

> Stephone B. Allen Primary Examiner